THE DEADLY INFLUENCE OF EVOLUTIONARY BELIEFS

God made the physical creation to reveal Himself. Rom. 1:20 says, "For the invisible things of him form the creation of the world are clearly seen ..." However, the whole world is spiritually blinded (2 Cor. 4:4, 1 Jn. 5:19), so **the revelatory message of science is distorted by misinformation.**Evolution is a major aspect of this misinformation.

I. EVOLUTION HARMS CHRISTIANITY & SCIENCE

A. Christianity made modern science possible

Peter E. Hodgson, Professor of Nuclear Physics at Oxford University: "Christian beliefs played an important part in the development of modern science. ... The ideas necessary for the birth and growth of science are that the world is orderly and rational and open to the human mind. These are Christian beliefs about the world."

By contrast, indigenous science simply has not developed in pagan cultures such as those of China and India because of the influence of paganism.²

B. But virtually all pagan cultures have been evolutionary

It has been said of pagan religions generally that, "Evolution ... was always the purpose of ancient mysteries. ... Man, who has sprung from the earth and developed through the lower kingdoms of nature to his present rational state, has yet to complete his evolution by becoming a god-like being and unifying his consciousness with the Omniscient. ..."³

Evolution makes Christ unnecessary, preparing the way for paganism. **Thus pagan cultures are evolutionary cultures.** Humanist G. Richard Bozarth noted the divergence between Christianity and evolution:

"Christianity has fought, still fights, and will fight science to the desperate end over evolution, because **evolution destroys utterly and finally the very reason Jesus' earthly life was supposedly made necessary**. Destroy Adam and Eve and the original sin, and in the rubble you will find the sorry remains of the son of god [sic]. ... **If Jesus was not the redeemer who died for our sins, and this is what evolution means, then Christianity is nothing.**"

C. Evolution has stagnated cultures ancient and modern

For example, under evolutionary/humanist influence, the classroom has become increasingly a place of indoctrination rather than of genuine education. Humanist John Dunphy:

"The classroom must and will become an arena of conflict between the old and the new - the rotting corpse of Christianity, together with all its adjacent evils and misery, and the new faith of humanism." With education becoming thus dedicated to indoctrination, real instruction languishes and science and other disciplines stagnate.

D. There is a current decline in scientific ethics

W.R. Thompson, FRS and Director of the Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, observed: "The success of Darwinism was accompanied by a decline in scientific **integrity**. This is already evident in the reckless statements of Haeckel and in the shifting, devious, and histrionic argumentation of T.H. Huxley."⁶

Technological advances have masked this scientific decline for a time, since the sources of technological ideas are the scientific discoveries of an earlier, more productive era. However, many observers have noted the symptoms of decline.

E. Scientific fraud is a symptom of decline

Fraud is an intentional or unintentional deception. Many frauds are unintentional, occurring because the perpetrators are motivated by fallacious premises and are themselves deceived. Fraud may not be an act of deliberate deception, i.e., a hoax.

The false premises of evolution have led to scientific frauds. The false premise that there must be primitive races evolving conditioned scientists to accept the Tasaday hoax engineered possibly by the Filipino government. The Tasaday people were a supposedly primitive tribe "famed for both their gentle ways and their total freedom from corrupting exterior contact. The discovery of this stone-age remnant now appears to have been an outright fraud.⁸

"The evidence leaves no doubt in my mind that **the entire Tasaday episode has been a deliberate deception, a hoax** ... Vulnerable villagers ... were induced to cavort, clad in leaves, as cave-dwellers before outsiders during brief, preannounced visits."

Before exposure of this hoax, *National Geographic* had published several articles on the Tasaday as if they were real. In fact, *National Geographic* was victim to a more recent fraud involving claims of a feathered dinosaur that never existed. The fallacious premise that feathered dinosaurs evolved into birds made this fraud made possible.

F. Evolution has caused a decline in Christianity

An atheist rejoicing in Christianity's decline acknowledged evolution's role: "When the theory of evolution was advanced, that was the date that the Judeo-Christian religion began the decline in which it now finds itself in the West. The two theories are point-blank in contradiction with each other."

Michael Denton, an agnostic, wrote, "As far as Christianity was concerned, the advent of the theory of evolution and the elimination of traditional theological thinking was catastrophic. ... Despite the attempt by liberal theology to disguise the point, the fact is that no biblically derived religion can really be compromised with the fundamental assertion of Darwinian theory. Chance and design are antithetical concepts, and the decline in religious belief can probably be attributed more to the propagation and advocacy by the intellectual and scientific community of the Darwinian version of evolution than to any other single factor." ¹³

Even Darwin himself saw evolution as an instrument for opposing Christianity, though he was careful not to express this view too openly. He wrote:

"Moreover, though I am a strong advocate for free thought on all subjects, yet it appears to me (whether rightly or wrongly) that direct arguments against Christianity and theism produce hardly any effects on the public, and freedom of

thought is best provided by the gradual illumination of men's minds, which follow from the advance of science [i.e., evolution]. It has, therefore, been always my object to avoid writing on religion and I have confined myself to science."¹⁴

In other words, past attacks on Christianity by atheists such as Voltaire that directly challenged Christ's divinity had failed to sway a large segment of the public. In Darwin's view, evolution ["science," in Darwin's terminology] would finally undermine Christianity, but most people would not perceive this. The attack would not be against the fundamentals of Christianity, but against biblical origins, which most people would dismiss as a side issue and therefore unimportant. How right Darwin was!

G. Ecumenism is built on evolutionary beliefs

An early ecumenical conference several decades after Darwin's death expressed strong faith in the godward evolution of man:

"We hope that the godlike may emerge in the essential human. We hope for fruition where there is barrenness, for wise delight where there is disastrous waste, for the gradual banishment of vice, depravity, penury, and disease. ... and for that a reasonable terrestrial economy - a real world-politics - which shall bloom at last like a rose from the thorny briar of the long evolution of man.

"We must also obey the cry - Upwards! - Do not merely propagate your race, but propagate a *higher* race! [Emphasis in original.] ... We all strive towards, and agree that we ought to strive towards, a golden age, a Kingdom of God upon earth ..." The Kingdom of God would thus be brought about by an evolutionary development involving human effort, rather than by divine intervention.

H. Ecumenicism is a movement toward paganism

Philip Rieff was chief consultant to the Planning Department of the ecumenical National Council of Churches (NCC) from 1961-1964. The NCC was and is the main organizer of the ecumenical agenda in the US. Rieff was pleased to write that:

"The long period of deconversion [by which Christian culture has been displaced in the West] which first broke the surface of political history at the time of the French Revolution appears all but ended. The central symbolism of personal and corporate experience seems to me well on its way to being differently organized, with several systems of belief [the cults, New Age, Hinduism, psychiatric systems] competing for primacy in the task of organizing personality in the West."

In other words, according to Rieff, the end result of the ecumenical agenda in the West is to be a shift away from Christianity primarily to Eastern paganism.

The first major ecumenical conference in the New World was the World's Parliament of Religions. ¹⁸ This conference was held in conjunction with the 1893 Chicago World's Fair. Some 10,000 letters of invitation and 40,000 documents were mailed worldwide in preparation for this conference, and more than 7,000 attended the closing session. ¹⁹ "This inter-faith gathering set in motion an impetus which has gathered and has continued to gather increasing momentum ever since." ²⁰

Inter-faith movements springing from the 1893 conference integrated Communist activists, the occult, and eco-paganism into the church. For example,

"[O]ne of the most significant advances of the interfaith movement was the foundation in 1936 of the World Congress of Faiths by Sir Francis Younghusband, soldier, explorer, diplomat, and mystic, who had fallen deeply under the spell of Oriental religions during lengthy sojourns in Tibet and India. On a mountain facing Lhasa he had said in 1904, 'I had visions of a far greater religion yet to be, and of a god as much greater than our English God as a Himalayan giant is greater than an English hill.'21 In 1924 he was invited to give the opening address at a religious conference at the British Empire Exhibition, where Hindus, Muslims, Buddhists, and animists also spoke. In 1934 he was sponsored on a lecture tour of America by the World Fellowship of Faiths, founded ten years previously by a Hindu and a Communist. This body held its first world congress at the Chicago World Fair in 1933 under the direction of ex-President Hoover and Jane Addams, a former associate of Lenin. It subsequently held a series of parliaments of faiths in various countries ..."22

"The World Congress of Faiths expanded rapidly. It came to have branches in France, Belgium, Pakistan, Guyana, and two in India. It can rightly claim to have pioneered the now common inter-faith services. ... [There has been] the formation in other countries of bodies with the same aims as the W.C.F. Most significant among these is the 'Temple of Understanding' founded in 1960 in the U.S.A. by Mrs. J. Hollister, a Shintoist, which organizes inter-faith gatherings at different venues throughout the world. It enjoys support from the highest quarters. Its early supporters included Albert Schweitzer, Eleanor Roosevelt ... Its religious sponsors included Bishop James A. Pike ... the Society of Friends, the Vedanta Society, the Islamic Conference of Cairo, and the United Lodge of Theosophists.²³

"The inclusion of theosophists give the inter-faith movement a direct contact with the occult world ... It also has friends in the financial world; John D. Rockefeller IV was among its supporters. By 1963 its membership included six thousand names from the world's great and good, including many Nobel Laureates, drawn from sixty-two countries. Since 1979, the Temple has represented the World Congress of Faiths in the U.S.A.²⁴

The Temple of Understanding spearheaded the insinuation of radical environmentalism into the church: "... For its fifth summit in October 1975 the Temple chose the cathedral of St. John the Divine in New York, which has regularly opened its doors to occultists and New Agers. The main topic discussed was the threat posed to the earth by technology."²⁵

I. Would ecumenism exist without evolution?

Younghusband, founder of the World Congress of Faiths, "nourished his mind during his sojourn in the Gobi desert on the works of Darwin, which came to him as a revelation. ... He rejected the doctrine of original sin on the ground that

on the ground that creation was a process of growth from low beginnings to a higher condition of life, thus ... **transforming** the theory of evolution from a belief about physical origins into a theological dogma. ... "²⁶

Not only were Younghusband's beliefs about religion generally driven by evolution. He also believed that Christ was a product of evolution:

"... Christ was plainly a development along the line of the holy men of God. If he were to be called divine then some few other men would have to be called divine. He had reached a higher level of being than ordinary men had attained. And he had manifested a higher quality. But in this he was rather the forerunner to show the way to other men, than of a different order of being. And other men might in the course of time reach that level and display that quality. He could not be considered a *complete* manifestation of God, a *complete* expression of God's will and intention, a complete full and final revelation of God."...²⁷

Evolutionary views have continuously energized the ecumenical movement and the minds of its founders. It sees mankind, including the man Jesus Christ, as evolving toward godhood, with Eastern paganism as the highest expression of that evolution so far. Younghusband's biographer George Seaver, though a minister in the Anglican church, echoed Younghusbands's views about the one-ness of all faiths:

"All formulated religious beliefs, of whatever tradition, are no more than fragmented facets of a single prism, formless and colourless itself, the white light of truth." ²⁸

Ecumenists increasingly assert that Hinduism is the closest approximation to "the white light of truth." As mentioned, the ultimate goal of ecumenism has never been merely the unification of Western religions, but the gathering of all faiths into a globalistic Hindu-style world church.²⁹ It is in this light that we must understand the Pope's recent universalist claims that people of all faiths, including Hinduism, can be saved apart from Christ.³⁰

J. Ecumenism has been linked with Communism

The NCC and its offshoot, the World Council of Churches (WCC), are among the primary ecumenical organizations. The NCC was (and may still be) heavily infiltrated by Communist influence, and Communism is a direct outgrowth of evolutionary thought, as we will see below. Regarding Communist infiltration into religious bodies in general, FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover stated:

"Never a day passes that I do not receive reliable reports on Communist activities in many parts of the nation. Almost no field of our society is immune to them. In the ranks of the concealed Communists today are labor leaders, educators, publicists, doctors, lawyers, businessmen, **and even clergymen.**" ³¹

Manning Johnson, a former party official of the Communist Party of the USA (CPUSA), testifying before the Chief Counsel of a House committee, explained the Communist methodology for infiltrating religious bodies:

"Once the tactic of infiltrating religious organizations was set by the Kremlin, the actual mechanics of implementing

the "new line" was a question of following the general experiences of the living church movement in Russia where the Communists discovered that the destruction of religion could proceed much faster through infiltration of the church by Communist agents operating within the church itself.

"... In the earliest stages it was determined that with only small forces available it would be necessary to concentrate Communist agents in the seminaries and divinity schools. ... The Communists had some small forces in the seminaries and under the leadership of Harry F. Ward. ... The plan was to make the seminaries the neck of a funnel through which thousands of potential clergymen would issue forth, carrying with them, in varying degrees, an ideology and slant which would aid in neutralizing the anti-Communist character of the church and also to use the clergy to spearhead important Communist projects. The policy was successful beyond even Communist expectations."

The actual numbers of Communist infiltrators was relatively small, for "Communist strategists counted the effectiveness of their forces not so much on members alone, but on the importance of individuals loyal to communism in key spots where a small group can influence large numbers ... Thus one professor of divinity, lecturing to future clergymen, who, in turn will preach to thousands of churchgoers, is, in the long run, more dangerous than 20 Red preachers, singing the praises of communism from the pulpit.³³

The marked influence of Red infiltration in the NCC and the WCC was noted by Emil Brunner, one of ecumenism's "Big Three": ³⁴ "But above all the Communist strategists undertake to split and make of no effect what Christian and humanitarian strengths are still in existence in Europe. Most alarming is the success they have gained in World Protestantism. ...

"To the brotherly colloquies of the Ecumenical Movement, when preparations were made for the World Council [of Churches] meeting in the fall of this year [1961] in New Delhi, the churches of the East were invited more and more, churches, which, of course, could only send delegates subservient to the Communist state. This was quite contrary to the position taken in the past concerning delegates of churches subservient to Hitler. At these meetings voices raised in warning against this 'brotherhood' with delegates from Communist-controlled countries were suppressed again and again. ... So it came about that in the Ecumenical Councils there was a growing alignment with constant stress and effort to learn to understand each other in a brotherly fashion. Recently this thought was introduced through ecumenical channels into the churches of the United States. This was clearly manifest in the 'Cleveland Message' in which before an ecumenical circle of American Christians, the great danger of atomic war was pictured so dramatically. The equally great danger of Communist world dominations was mentioned not at all. Ideas were spread which had, until then, only been presented by German theologians. Sentences were read from the open letter of Karl Barth to the German Christians in the DDR (the Communist dominated part of Germany) in which he states that 'one can after all preach Christianity, believe Christianity, and live Christianity under a Communistic regime.' ... Thus the church without at all being Communist is unwittingly doing the work of Communism."³⁵

Indeed, the Federal Council of Churches, precursor of the NCC and the World Council of Churches, was actually begun by Communists and Communist sympathizers. For example, "Miss Jane Addams was a member of the Federal Council of Churches. Addams was an enthusiastic backer of Wall Street investment in the Soviet Union, and a stockholder in Nicolai Lenin's Russian-American Industrial Corporation and the Communist Federation Press. Apart from Lenin, another close friend of Addams was Rosika Schwimmer. Schwimmer is interesting because she was a close confidant of Count Karloyi, the man who handed Hungary on a plate to the Red terror directed by ... 'Bela Kuhn' [in 1919]. It was Addams who arranged a lecture tour of America for Count Karloyi." 36

K. Would prayer and Bible reading be illegal in US public schools if not for the NCC and evolution?

The NCC opposed prayer and Bible reading in school before the Supreme Court did: "[In 1963] the NCC General Board ... resolution opposing prayers and Bible reading in the public schools passed by a vote of 65 to 1 of the General Board with 03 members of the Board absent. This was done before the Supreme Court handed down its decision in the suit brought by Atheist Madalyn Murray against prayer and Bible reading. The Greek Orthodox representative at the General Board meeting of the NCC charged at the time that the Council was seeking to sway the judgment of the Supreme Court and make it appear that the majority of church people in the U.S.A. were opposed to prayer and Bible reading in the schools.

"When Congressman Frank J. Becker introduced an amendment to the U.S. Constitution, in addition to 146 other amendments offered by other Congressmen on the same subject, to give *permission* to schools which did want to have prayer and Bible reading, **the National Council of Churches threw its weight in with the atheists, agnostics, infidels, free-thinkers, leftists of all shades and the Communists in opposing any such amendment.** The Council sent Dr. Edwin A. Tuller, ultra-liberal general Secretary of the American Baptist Convention and Charles H. Tuttle, lawyer and Episcopal layman, to Washington to oppose in testimony before the House Judiciary Committee any such permissive amendment. ...

"Thirty congressmen appeared before the committee and testified in favor of the amendment. Only one appeared to oppose the amendment. Washington received the greatest deluge of mail and petitions in its history signed by millions of Americans of all faiths in favor of the amendment. Yet, so powerful was the left-religious clique, in concert with the Communist network, that the amendment did not get out of ... committee so that the House of Representatives could even have a chance to vote on it!" 37

The widespread acceptance of evolution ultimately paved the way for abolition of prayer and Bible reading in US public schools.

L. Would higher criticism exist if not for evolution?

The answer is *probably not:* "Sometimes people talk as though the 'higher criticism' of texts in recent times has had more influence upon the human mind than the higher criticism of nature. ... If the biologists, the geologists, the astronomers, the anthropologists had not been at work, I venture to think that the higher critics would have been either non-existent or a tiny minority in a world of fundamentalists." 38

A century ago the Bible was already being displaced by "experiential" criteria as evolution rose to dominance. In 1910 ecumenists asked:

"But now the decisive question. Can the New Testament, when considered historically, maintain its peculiar position as *a document of revelation?* [Emphasis in original.] Must it not give up every claim to this? ... Thus the historical [i.e., higher critical] method obliges us not to cling to the form when we thirst for revelation, but to seek the power, not to believe in revelation, but to experience revelation. Revelation is an experience, and not a statement."³⁹

The widespread acceptance of evolution therefore paved the way for the modern rejection of biblical doctrine.

II. EVOLUTION: RACIAL CONFLICT, WAR & DEATH

A. Evolution fomented racism

If evolution is true, then some groups should be more highly evolved than others, who are therefore inferior. By fostering this type of thought, evolution caused racist attitudes to persist world wide long after the last Western nation had outlawed slavery.

Darwin's *Origin of Species* presents a racist view of existence. Even the title itself is racist. The full title is *The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection: or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life.* Human evolution is not addressed in the *Origin*, only that of plant and animal life. However, the teaching that certain "races" are favored means that others are not. The ones that are not therefore must be unfit to survive the "struggle for life."

The text of the *Origin* reinforces these sentiments. For instance, extinction is said to be the fate of the "less-favoured forms," and life is said to be engaged in a "struggle for existence" - a struggle which the "less-favoured forms" are expected to lose. If these sentiments be extended to the human race, they are a bottomless pit of excuses for inflicting every conceivable cruelty on peoples perceived to be weak or ignorant, or simply in need of political "education."

Lest this seem too harsh a judgment, it is appropriate to note **Darwin's own opinion of allegedly "inferior races":**"The more civilized so-called Caucasian races have beaten the Turkish hollow in the struggle for existence. Looking to the world at no very distant date, **what an endless number of the lower races will have been eliminated by the higher civilized races throughout the world."⁴²**

Darwin's racism continues to be recognized as the basis for brutal colonial policies. A Chinese scholar notes: "My abhorrence of Darwinism is understandable, for what member of the `lower races' could remain indifferent to the

statement attributed to the great master (Darwin, 1881, in a letter to W. Graham [quoted above]) that `at no very distant date, **what an endless number of the lower races will have been eliminated** by the higher civilized races throughout the world.' ... Charles Darwin ... was a gentleman scientist of the Victorian era, and an establishment member of a society that sent gunboats to forcibly import opium into China, all in the name of competition (in free trade) and survival of the fittest."⁴³

B. Would Communism have existed without evolution?

Evolution provided a foundation for the rise of Communism: "Marx and Engels accepted evolution almost immediately after Darwin published *The Origin of Species.* ... **Evolution, of course, was just what the founders of communism needed to explain how mankind could have come into being without the intervention of any supernatural force, and consequently it could be used to bolster the foundations of their materialistic philosophy."⁴⁴**

"It is a commonplace that Marx felt his own work to be the exact parallel of Darwin's. He even wished to dedicate a portion of *Das Kapital* to the author of *The Origin of Species*. ... Like Darwin, Marx ... saw history in stages, as the Darwinists saw geological strata and successive forms of life. ... Both Marx and Darwin made struggle the means of development."⁴⁵

"There was truth in Engel's eulogy on Marx: `Just as Darwin discovered the law of organic evolution in organic nature, so Marx discovered the law of evolution in human history.' "⁴⁶

Evolutionists early on were discussing the violent overthrow of governments. Geographer Elisee Reclus recounted a conversation in 1861 with Alfred Russell Wallace, the "co-founder" of evolution: "We did not talk of geography during the afternoon we spent together, but of Anarchism ... On asking him if he thought force was needed to bring about such a great reform, and if he approved of the killing by bombs or otherwise of bad rulers, he replied, very quietly, that in extreme cases, like that of Russia, he thought there was no other way to force upon the rulers' notice the determination of the people to be free from their tyrants ... "47

C. Evolution provided an impetus for global war

With evolution fomenting modern racism and communistic revolution, war parties grew stronger: "In every European country between 1870 and 1914 there was a war party demanding armaments, an individualist party demanding ruthless competition, an imperialist party demanding a free hand over backward peoples, a socialist party demanding the conquest of power, and a racialist party demanding internal purges against aliens - all of them, when appeals to greed and glory failed, or even before, invoked Spencer and Darwin ... Race was biological ... it was Darwinian."

D. Evolution was a major factor causing World War I

"This great European war has nearly wiped out human civilization; although its causes were very many, it must be said

that the Darwinian theory [of struggle for survival] had a very great influence."⁴⁹

E. World War II was an outgrowth of evolution

"To see evolutionary measures and tribal morality being applied rigorously to the affairs of a great modern nation we must turn again to Germany of 1942. We see Hitler devoutly convinced that evolution produces the only real basis for a national policy. ... The German Fuhrer, as I have consistently maintained, is an evolutionist; he has consciously sought to make the practice of Germany conform to the theory of evolution." ⁵⁰

F. Evolution was a factor in China's fall to Communism

"Even in China in recent years, where **throughout [the] whole country men struggle for power, grasp for gain,** and seem to have gone crazy, although they understand nothing of scholarship, yet **the things they say to shield themselves from condemnation are regularly drawn from Yen Fu's translation of T.H. Huxley's** *Principles of Evolution.* ...
[T]he influence of theory on men's minds is enormous."⁵¹

Evolution's destabilizing influence on China extended back to the early years of the 20th century: "But it was Darwinism, speaking through Huxley, and made to appear organically related to ancient Chinese thought on evolution, that furnished the intellectual basis for China's great upheaval beginning with 1911." The Chinese leader Sun Yat Sin was unable to turn the tide.

G. Evolution made the 20th century the deadliest in history

Without evolution's influence, it is conceivable that the Communist Revolution and World Wars I and II might not have been. Instead, **the 1900s were the deadliest century for political and war deaths in history**. For Communism alone, "With a grand total of victims variously estimated ... between 85 million and 100 million ... **the Communist record offers the most colossal case of political carnage in history**." ⁵³ Nazism eliminated an additional 25 million. ⁵⁴

Evolution caused these deaths: "Communism's recourse to 'permanent civil war' rested on the 'scientific' Marxist belief in class struggle ... Nazi violence was founded on a scientific social Darwinism promising national regeneration through racial struggle." 55

Evolutionists have sought to turn the blame for evolution's deadly legacy from evolution to "male dominance." According to psychiatrist Arnold Ludwig, in the 20th century, of all rulers, "98.6% were male. [Ludwig] also found it `simply horrifying' that in the 20th century rulers contributed to over 200 million deaths from wars and oppressive social policies. 'And I happen to believe that these two things are connected ...' Ludwig believes one way to reverse the numbers of deaths from wars might be to adopt more of an `estrogenic approach' to ruling. 'There not only should be far higher percentages of women in power, but they should be well represented at every level: cabinet posts, ambassadorships, and the highest military ranks.' "56

However, this does not explain why in the 1800s, when rulers were nearly all male, the number of deaths from wars and social causes was so much less than 200 million. For comparison, in the years 1917-1953, there were some 20 million political deaths in Russia under Communism, but a total of only 6,321 political deaths under the Czars in the century from 1825-1917.⁵⁷ Further, the deadliest war of the nineteenth century, the American civil war with a death toll of 600,000, was only 1% as deadly as the deadliest war of the 1900s (World War II) with its death toll of 60 million.

The historians already quoted do not blame the murderous weaponry of the twentieth century for this contrast. They blame evolution. Evolution was the new factor making the twentieth century so deadly.

III. EVOLUTION'S DEADLY INFLUENCE CONTINUES

A. Evolution has motivated abortion and infanticide

Advocates of abortion and infanticide appeal to evolutionary thinking: "Among some animal species, then, infant killing appears to be a natural practice. Could it be natural for humans, too - a trait inherited from our primate ancestors. ... Charles Darwin noted in *The Descent of Man* that infanticide has been 'probably the most important of all checks' on population growth throughout most of human history." ⁵⁸

Abortion was legalized in the U.S. 30 years ago; now it is becoming required that physicians learn how to do abortions; ⁵⁹ in 30 years will infanticide/euthanasia training be required? This is not so far fetched; scholarly works are now appearing which compare our culture now to pre-Nazi Germany and even to Nazi Germany itself. ⁶⁰

Margaret Sanger, founder of Planned Parenthood, was a fervent evolutionist and called for draconian contraceptive policies based on evolutionary teaching. Indeed, there continue to be modern calls for Nazi-like population control: "We must have population control at home ... by compulsion if voluntary methods fail. ... And while this is being done we must take action to reverse the deterioration of our environment before population pressure permanently ruins our planet. [But the environment is not collapsing, nor is population exploding. We can no longer afford merely to treat the symptoms of the cancer of population growth; the cancer itself must be cut out. Population control is the only answer.

"Some sort of compulsory birth regulation would be necessary to achieve birth control. One plan often mentioned involves the addition of temporary sterilants to water supplies or staple food. Doses of the antidote would be carefully rationed by the government to produce the desired population size. ..."⁶² Similar calls for coercive population control have become increasingly common among the elite. ⁶³

B. Evolutionist Philip Rieff declared war on the family

NCC consultant Philip Rieff, referring to Communist psychiatrist Wilhelm Reich, said: "The chief institution of repressive authority is the family. As a political revolution must overthrow the power of the state, moral revolution must overthrow the power of the family - all families. ... The family, being the training ground of morality, is authoritarian by

definition. It is the factory of 'reactionary ideology and structure.' ... **A revolution must sweep out the family and its ruler, the father**. ... However radical the revolution, so long as the family persists, authority will creep back."⁶⁴

From such influential judgments have sprung the impetus for feminism, abortion, infanticide, euthanasia, homosexuality, and lesbianism. Indeed, the UN is now redefining the family to include the behaviors just mentioned.⁶⁵

Rather than seeking to anchor the family as defined in Gen. 2:24, liberals have been aggressively pushing Rieff's agenda: "If nature is to be saved ... men must learn to feel in new ways that have hitherto been considered `soft' and sentimental. ... But if men are to learn how to take on this new kind of maleness, women must teach them. If men are to enjoy this new kind of humanness, women must show them how. ... I contend that not only should women be allowed to be preachers and teachers, but if the environment is to be rescued from destruction, men need to have women in such roles [emphases in original]." ⁶⁶ Thus is gender role reversal demanded in the name of saving the earth.

C. Evolution is to be the basis for world government

The UN and UNESCO were evolutionary from the start. Julian Huxley, founder of UNESCO, was grandson of Thomas Huxley ("Darwin's Bulldog," so nicknamed because of his staunch support for Darwin), and relative of Aldous Huxley, author of *Brave New World*, which broached the possibility of genetic production of the master race.⁶⁷ Julian Huxley wrote:

"It is essential for UNESCO to adopt an evolutionary approach ... The general philosophy of UNESCO, should it seems, be a scientific world humanism, global in extent and evolutionary in background. ... Thus the struggle for existence that underlies natural selection is increasingly replaced by conscious selection, a struggle between ideas and values in consciousness." This paper was originally written as Huxley's framework for UNESCO, but was not released publicly until years later - an example of a hidden agenda operative for a long time before being revealed.

Conclusions. Though all decry the violence and death wrought by Communism and the World Wars, the death toll due to evolution continues to mount. An estimated 50 million babies are aborted worldwide each year due ultimately to evolutionary ideology. This began after World War II. Since then, on the order of 2 billion babies have been aborted worldwide,⁶⁹ a toll making political and war deaths small by comparison. As a result, world population growth rate is plummeting, with an actual *decline* predicted to set in by 2050.⁷⁰ There is no population explosion, but there is a "death explosion" due to more than 150 years of evolutionary influence. Simplistically blaming the carnage of the 1900s on man's depravity avoids confronting the consequences of evolutionary premises. Evil ideas have evil consequences.

Why a Christian would accept any portion of evolutionary thought, biological or astronomical, as if it were "creation" and thus compatible with the Bible, is a mystery. The Christian who does so is not wise.

Notes. Bolding in quotations is added. 1 P. Hodgson, "The Christian Origin of Modern Science," The World and I, July 1988, p. 198. 2 The lack of indigenous science in the great civilizations such as those of India and China has long been a point of interest to historians. For example, British scholar and professed agnostic Joseph Needham spent a lifetime studying Chinese civilization, concluding that in China, the absence of Christianity meant the absence of science: "[In Europe] the scientific revolution took place along with the rise of capitalism and the Reformation ... but none of them took place in China" (A. Fisher, "The Roots of Science in Ancient China," Mosaic, Vol. 13 no. 2, March/April 1982, p. 13). See also J. Henry, "Christianity and the Rise of Modern Science," <creationconcepts.org>, 2006. 3 W.L. Wilmhurst, The Meaning of Masonry, Gramercy, 1980, pp. 47, 94.

4 G. Richard Bozarth, "The Meaning of Evolution," American Atheist, Vol. 20 no. 2, Feb. 1978, p. 30. 5 John J. Dunphy, "A Religion for a New Age," The Humanist, January/ February 1983, p. 28. 6 W.R. Thompson Introduction Origin of Species

6 W.R. Thompson, Introduction, *Origin of Species*, J.M. Dent, 1963, p. xxi.

7 N. Webster, American Dictionary of the English Language, Foundation for American Christian Education, 1993; first publ. 1828; entry "Fraud."

8Martin Lewis, Green Delusions: An Environmentalist Critique of Radical Environmentalism, Duke University, 1992, p. 67.

See also J. Henry, "The Tasaday Controversy: A Lesson in the Pitfalls of Evolutionary Expectations," <creationconcepts .org>, 2006.

9 Gerald Berreman, "The Incredible "Tasaday': Deconstructing the Myth of a Stone Age People," *Cultural Survival Quarterly*, Vol. 15 no. 1, 1991, p. 34.

10 (1) Kenneth MacLeish, "Help for Philippine Tribes in Trouble," *National Geographic*, Vol. 140 no. 2, August 1971, pp. 220-255; (2) Jerry Jones, "First Glimpse of a Stone Age Tribe," *National Geographic*, Vol. 140 no. 6, December 1971, pp. 881-882; and (3) Kenneth MacLeish, "The Tasadays, Stone Age Cavemen of Mindanao," *National Geographic*, Vol. 142 no. 2, August 1972, pp. 219-249. A book describing the Tasaday as a genuine stone age culture was also published: John Nance, *The Gentle Tasaday*, HBJ, 1975.

11 C. Sloan, "Feathers for T. Rex: New Birdlike Fossils Are Missing Links in Dinosaur Evolution," *National Geographic*, Vol. 196 no. 5, November 1999, pp. 98-107. A retraction of the feathered dinosaur claim was published a year later: Lewis M. Simons, "Archeoraptor Fossil Trail," *National Geographic*, Vol. 198 no. 4, October 2001, pp. 128-132. See J. Henry, "Evolutionary Frauds: Lies in the Name of 'Science'," <creation concepts.org>, 2001.

12 "Genesis and Evolution," *American Atheist*, Vol. 30, January 1988, p. 7.

13 Michael Denton, *Evolution, A Theory in Crisis*, Adler and Adler, 1985, p. 66.

14 Darwin in a letter to Edward Aveling (Karl Marx' son-in-law), October 13, 1880. S. Herbert, "The Place of Man in the Development of Darwin's Theory of Transmutation," Part 2, *Journal of the History of Biology*, Vol. 10 no. 2, Fall 1977, p. 161.

Darwin when young assented to Christian doctrine as a cultural norm. He apparently never had a genuine Christian faith, i.e., a saving faith in Christ alone for salvation, so never "lost his

faith" later in life. However, he gradually shed his cultural assent to Christianity, though he was reticent about this shift and rarely spoke of it publicly. In private writings, he described the tightening embrace of agnosticism as he aged. See J. Henry, "Charles Darwin and *The Origin of Species*: Dispelling Fourteen Myths About Darwin," <creationconcepts.org>, 2002.

15 The "fundamentals" of the Christian faith include biblical doctrines such as (1) the divinity of Christ; (2) His virgin birth; (3) the shedding of His blood and His death on the cross; (4) His bodily resurrection from the dead; (5) the inspiration of the Bible; and (6) the infallibility of Scripture. Each of these doctrines affirms the power of Christ to save the soul eternally. Faith in Christ alone for personal salvation cannot be exercised if one consciously rejects these fundamental doctrines. False teaching on these fundamentals is "heresy."

A person can be an evolutionist, yet come to Christ for salvation. Thus the biblical teachings about origins are not "fundamentals of the faith." Nevertheless, what one believes about nonfundamentals is important. The fact that the Bible presents teaching on non-fundamentals signifies that our beliefs on non-fundamentals ultimately affect our treatment of fundamental doctrines. The decline in Christianity caused by widespread acceptance of evolution demonstrates in a negative way that all biblical teachings stand or fall together. False teaching on non-fundamentals is "error." Error may not prevent a person from coming to Christ alone for salvation, but error over time generates heresy.

16 Charles W. Wendte (ed.), Fifth International Congress of Free Christianity and Religious Progress, Protestantischer Schriftenvertrieb, Berlin, 1911, pp. 33-34, 162, 404. Even during Darwin's lifetime, Germany embraced Darwinism more ardently than almost any other country.

17 P. Rieff, *The Triumph of the Therapeutic: Uses of Faith After Freud*, Harper and Row, 1966, p. 2.
18 J.H. Barrows (ed.), *Proceedings of the World's Parliament of Religions*, Parliament Publishing, 1893, pp. 6-8, 18, 38, 57, 74, 158.

19 ibid., pp. 44, 157. Herbert J. Pollitt (*The Inter-Faith Movement: The New Age Enters the Church*, Banner of Truth Trust, 1996, pp. 3-4) emphasized the range of false religions included in this event:

"In 1893, the World's Columbian Exposition, a world fair for the celebration of man's technological achievement, was held in Chicago. In conjunction with it a World Parliament of Religions was held to show the contribution of religion to humanity. Over a period of seventeen days this brought together delegates representing not only the major branches of Christianity, Catholic, Orthodox and Protestant, but also Theism, Judaism, Islam, Hinduism, Buddhism, Jainism, Taoism, Confucianism and Zoroastrianism. The contemporary Archbishop of Canterbury, Edward White Benson, refused to attend on the grounds that participation would compromise the uniqueness of Christianity and imply that other religions were its equals. E.J. Eitel, a missionary in Hong Kong, issued a forthright condemnation, accusing the organizers of 'unconsciously planning treason against Christ' and of 'playing fast and loose ... with false **20** ibid., p. 4. religions'."

21 F. Younghusband, *Vital Religion*; in George Seaver, *Francis Younghusband, Explorer and Mystic*, Murray, 1952, p. 249; in Pollitt, p. 4.

Younghusband's yearning for Eastern revelation is the same desire expressed by Rieff as noted earlier. 22 Pollitt, op. cit., p. 4.

23 ibid. pp. 8, 10. The founder of the New Age movement and first head of the Theosophical Society was occultist Helena Blavatsky. She got many of her ideas from the same Hindu documents revered by the New Age and modern Hinduism (J.O. Fuller, *Blavatsky and Her Teachers*, East-West Publications, 1988, pp. 48, 197). The dust jacket of this book notes that "Blavatsky's main work *The Secret Doctrine* (1888) ... offered a profound spiritual interpretation of evolution, in contrast to Darwinism, and brought a new dimension into western thought."

Along with the occult, evolution dominated Blavatsky's mind. The spiritual interpretation of evolution that she proposed was a non-Darwinian, "New Age" evolution in which a non-biblical New Age force guides the evolutionary process. Blavatsky's New Age evolution is slowly displacing traditional Darwinism. See J. Henry, "Intelligent Design and the Bible," <creationconcepts.org>, 2006; J. Henry, "Ye Shall Be as Gods: The Modern Search for Extraterrestrial Life," <creationconcepts.org>, 1999.

The Theosophical Society initiated the

modern New Age in the late 1800s. Through this movement "knowledge of Hinduism and Hindu influence has penetrated Western culture" (C.S. Braden, "Theosophy," Grolier Encyclopedia, Grolier, 1970, Vol. 18, p. 80; Fuller, pp. 44-45). Theosophy and ecumenism share fervent respect for Hinduism. 24 Pollitt, p. 10. 25 ibid., p. 11. There is in fact no danger of ecological collapse and thus no practical reason for an eco-radical stance. Man is to be a wise steward (Gen. 1:28-30), but God "formed [the earth] to be inhabited" and is thus preserving it (Isa. 45:18). See J. Henry, "Ecological Collapse Is Not Happening," <creatonconcepts.org>, 2003. 26 ibid., p. 5. 27 Francis Younghusband, The Gleam, 1923; cited by George Seaver, Francis Younghusband, Explorer and Mystic, Murray, 1952; in Pollitt, p. 6. 28 George Seaver, World Faiths, no. 99, summer 1976, p. 4; in Pollitt, p. 6. World Faiths was an official publication of the World Congress of Faiths. 29 Barrows, pp. 78, 87, 96, 192-193, 331, 456-457. 30 A. Dager, "New Gospel Emerging," Media Spotlight, October 1997, p. 24. 31 J. Edgar Hoover, "The Communists Are After Our Minds," America Legion, October 1954; quoted in Edgar C. Bundy, Apostles of Deceit, Church League of America, 1966, p. 15. Assessments such as this cannot be dismissed as McCarthyist paranoia, for the Communist threat to U.S. security in the 1950s was genuine. Fareed Zakaria, "Freedom vs. Security," Newsweek, Vol. 140 no. 2, July 8, 2002, p. 19: "The Red scare was not a phantom menace." Harvey Klehr et al., The Secret World of American Communism, Yale University, 1995, p. 16: "The widespread popular belief that many American Communists collaborated with Soviet intelligence and placed loyalty to the Soviet Union ahead of loyalty to the United States was well founded. Concern about the subversive threat of the CPUSA and worries that Communists employed in sensitive government jobs constituted a security risk were equally well founded."

- **32** Investigation of Communist Activities in the New York Area, pp. 2278-2279; in Bundy, pp. 60-62
- **33** ibid., p. 499. A specific example of Communist infiltration of a religious school involved one-time Communist agent and recruiter Elizabeth Bentley:
- "... There was the meeting that I had with an advanced student -- whom I shall call Edwin at Union Theological Seminary back in the spring of 1935. Harold Patch had introduced me to him because he wanted me to co-sign Edwin's application for membership in the Party. ... I asked him (Edwin) whether or not he had broached his ideas to anyone at Union Theological Seminary and what they thought.

" 'Yes,' Edwin said ... Tve talked to Dr. Harry Ward about the question of my joining the Communist Party. He's not a member ... but he told me that I should follow the dictates of my own conscience. In fact, he indicated that my membership would make absolutely no difference in my being ordained.' He paused for a moment ... 'You know, it's funny, but I would swear he approved the step I am taking'..."

"Edwin joined the Party, and very soon thereafter two other students at Union Theological Seminary applied for membership. One was a prospective preacher who, like Edwin, had not yet been ordained; the other was a minister who had been doing missionary work in Japan for several years and had returned to the United States to take a few refresher courses. ..."

Bentley told the missionary student: "You now have three Communist Party members in Union Theological Seminary and that is sufficient to make a unit. Tell Edwin to check with the Harlem section and they will give him directives. You won't have to see me again" (E. Bentley, *Out of Bondage*, Devin-Adair, 1951, pp. 41-44; in Bundy, pp. 467-468).

Dr. Ward was Professor of Christian Ethics at Union Theological Seminary. "Although Dr. Ward has denied being a member of the Communist Party (as he was so identified by several witnesses in 1953), in his case the question loses its meaning, so consistent and persistent [were] his services to the Communist movement for nearly half a century" (Bundy, p. 467).

- 34 In the 1960s, the three most influential religious liberals were Emil Brunner, Karl Barth, and Reinhold Neibuhr (Bundy, p. 53).
- **35** *Neue Zurcher Zeitung*, May 28, 1961; in Bundy, pp. 54-56.
- **36** John Coleman, "One World Government Church Revived," *World In Review*, 1990, p. 5.
- 37 Bundy, pp. 83-84.
- 38 F.M. Powicke, *Modern Historians and the Study of History: Essays and Papers*, Odhams Press, 1955, p. 228. 39 Wendte, op. cit., pp. 112, 279.
- **40** Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, 2nd ed., Mentor, 1958; first publ. 1860; p. 318.
- **41** ibid., p. 426.
- 42 Francis Darwin, (ed.), *The Life and Letters of Charles Darwin*, Vol. 1, D. Appleton, 1893, p. 69.
- **43** Kenneth J. Hsu, "Reply to `Darwin's Three Mistakes'," *Geology*, Vol. 15, April 1987, p. 377.
- **44** Conway Zirkle, *Evolution, Marxian Biology and the Social Scene*, University of Pennsylvania, 1959, p. 85.
- **45** J. Barzun, *Darwin, Marx, Wagner*, University of Chicago, 1981, pp. 8, 170.
- 46 Gertrude Himmelfarb, Darwin and the

Darwinian Revolution, Norton, 1968, pp. 422-423.
47 A.R. Wallace, My Life, Vol.2, Chapman and Hall, 1905, p. 208.
48 Barzun, pp. 94, 95.

- **49** Reported by Ssu-yii Teng and John K. Fairbank in *China's Response to the West*, Harvard, 1954, p. 267; in Henry M. Morris, *The Long War Against God*, Baker, 1989, p. 222.
- **50** Arthur Keith, *Evolution and Ethics*, Putnam, 1947, pp. 28, 230.
- **51** *China's Response to the West*, p. 267; in Morris, p. 222.
- **52** Ilsa Veith, "Creation and Evolution in the Far East," in *Issues in Evolution* (ed. Sol Tax), University of Chicago, 1960, p. 16.
- 53 Mark Kramer (ed.), The Black Book of Communism: Crimes, Terror, Repression, Harvard, 1999, p. x.
 54 ibid., p. xi.
 55 ibid., p. xix.
 56 Jeff Worley, "It's Good to Be King," UK Odyssey, Fall 2002, p. 28; reviewing Arnold Ludwig, King of the Mountain: The Nature of Political Leadership, University of Kentucky, 2002.
 57 Kramer, p. xviii.
- moment ... 'You know, it's funny, but I would swear 58 Barbara Burke, "Infanticide," *Science 84*, Vol. 5 no. 5, May 1984, p. 29.
 - **59** Linda Villarosa, "Newest Skill for Future Ob-Gyns: Abortion Training," *New York Times*, June 11, 2002, Health and Fitness p. 1.
 - **60** Robert N. Proctor, *The Nazi War on Cancer*, Princeton University, 1999, pp. 249-252, 276-278. Proctor documents, for example, that the modern "war" on cigarettes was also carried out by the perverted Nazi regime. Most chilling is the fact that Proctor approves of certain similarities between our culture and that of the Nazis.
 - 61 One of Margaret Sanger's early writings was a pamphlet called *Family Limitation*, and Planned Parenthood was originally named the Birth Control League and published *The Birth Control Review* strongly advocating birth control. See George Grant, *Killer Angel: A Biography of Planned Parenthood's Founder Margaret Sanger*, Ars Vitae Press, 1995, pp. 49, 64.

Sanger was utterly serious about imposing birth control on the world for two reasons: (1) that it would undermine Christianity, and (2) that it would eliminate "inferior races" from reproducing. She wrote, "Birth control appeals to the advanced radical because it is calculated to undermine the authority of the Christian churches. I look forward to seeing humanity free someday of the tyranny of Christianity ..." (ibid., p. 104). She also wrote of black people, whom she viewed as inferior, that the way to convince the "Negro" to accept birth control "is through religious appeal. We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population ..." (ibid., p. 74). "The bottom line is that [Sanger] self-consciously organized the Birth Control League - and its progeny, Planned parenthood - in part, to promote and enforce the scientifically elitist notions of White Supremacy" (ibid., p. 72).

Lest it be said that Sanger's radical views were not those of Planned Parenthood, it should be noted that she remained in control of the organization even after it had global influence because "the organization found that it simply could not survive without her" (ibid., p. 96). Alan Guttmacher, who took the helm after her death in 1966, stated, "We are merely walking down the path that Mrs. Sanger carved out for us" (ibid., p. 102).

Planned Parenthood has been virtually alone responsible for the global abortion holocaust. In

1938 Sweden was the first "Christian" nation to legalize abortion and to initiate Planned Parenthood birth control programs; from 1949-1956, eleven other nations in Europe legalized abortion because of Planned parenthood activism; in 1958, Planned Parenthood became the global sponsor of free sex and abortion under U.N. financial support. The U.N. presently supports Planned Parenthood abortion programs in virtually every country, including China, India, the African nations, and the nations of central Europe. The U.S. is also a major sponsor, since most of the U.N.'s financial support is from America.

62 Paul Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb*, Ballantine, 1968, pp. 11, 135.

63 ibid., p. 79. Ehrlich called for a global population of only 2 billion by 2050 and 1.5 billion by 2100. Ehrlich was involved in establishing the Wildlands Project, a plan now being gradually and quietly implemented by the government to depopulate the U.S. in a couple of centuries and confine people to "reservations" occupying a fraction of the land (an "archipelago of humaninhabited islands surrounded by natural areas"). See Charles C. Mann and Mark L. Plummer, "The High Cost of Biodiversity," Science, Vol. 260 no. 5116, June 25, 1993, p. 1868. **64** Rieff, p. 156. 65 George Archibald, "U.S. to Help U.N. Redefine 'Families' to Include Gay Couples," Washington Times, Vol. 9 no. 18 weekly, May 5, 2002, p. 23. 66 T. Campolo, How to Rescue the Earth without Worshipping Nature, Nelson, 1992, pp. 103-104. 67 A. Huxley, Brave New World, Harper and Row, 1969; first publ. 1932; pp. xii-xiii. Huxley opines that the future is likely to be totalitarian: "A really efficient totalitarian state would be one in which the all-powerful executive of political bosses and their army of managers control a population of slaves who do not have to be coerced, because they love their servitude. ... The most important [projects] of the future will be vast government-sponsored enquiries into ... 'the problem of happiness' - in other words, the problem of making people love their servitude. ... The love of servitude cannot be established except as the result of a deep, personal revolution in human minds and bodies. To bring about that revolution we require, among others, the following discoveries and inventions. First, a greatly improved technique of suggestion - through infant conditioning and, later, with the aid of drugs ... [And finally] a foolproof system of eugenics, designed to standardize the human product and so to facilitate the task of the managers. In Brave New World this standardization of the human product has been pushed to fantastic, though perhaps not impossible, extremes."

- **68** Julian Huxley, "A New World Vision," *The Humanist*, Vol. 35 no. 2, March/April 1979, pp. 35, 36. **69** Grant, p. 3.
- **70** J. Henry, "Global Population Past and Present," <creationconcepts.org>, 2007.
- 71 For a refutation of progressive creationism and other accommodationist concepts, see J. Henry, "A Critique of Progressive Creationism in the Writings of Hugh Ross," *Creation Research Society Quarterly*, Vol. 43 no. 1, June 2006, pp. 16-24; J. Henry, "Did Death Occur Before the Fall?: A Further Critique of the Progressive Creationism of Hugh Ross," *Creation Research Society Quarterly*, Vol. 43 no. 3, December 2006, pp. 160-167.